

# Regulation of GSHP Systems – Heating and Cooling

Jenny Thomas

Groundwater Quality Technical Specialist Thames  
Environment Agency

GSHPA Research Seminar, 21 January 2010

# Our Position

We encourage responsible, well-designed, GSHC schemes, unless:

➔ *there is a potential unacceptable thermal or chemical pollution risk*

*or:*

➔ *the density of heat discharges and temperature change threatens the **sustainability** of the aquifer or subsurface as*

➔ *a water resource*

➔ *an ecological or structural substrate*

➔ *a resource for heating and cooling for future users*

# Well-designed (sustainable) GSHC schemes

- ➔ Minimal impact on the environment
  - ➔ thermally balanced; or
  - ➔ partner with a user of the surplus energy especially for large schemes or those in areas where there is a high density of schemes
- ➔ Such schemes will also be more energy efficient

The following is very much **Work in Progress**

# Regulation

## ⇒ We wish to simplify regulation

- ⇒ not onerous for applicants; EA can process applications quickly

## ⇒ Good practice guidance to achieve this

## ⇒ We do not regulate closed loop systems

- ⇒ although heat can cause pollution, we have no powers to regulate its discharge; we can only control discharge of hot water
- ⇒ we have concerns about creation of pathways and pollution from carrier fluids

## ⇒ Open loop systems require formal agreement

- ⇒ groundwater investigation consent, followed by an abstraction licence, and a discharge consent (environmental permit from April)
- ⇒ normally non-consumptive abstraction with water returned to the same aquifer

# Voluntary notification system for closed loop systems

BGS/GSHPA/Ofgen?

# Proposed Risk-Based Approach

4 scenarios (any variants need to be justified by applicants):

- ➔ No risk receptors/no thermal sustainability issues
  - ➔ no max temperature or net maximum heat specified
- ➔ Possible risk receptors within a prescribed radius/no thermal sustainability issues
  - ➔ apply temp increase limit of 10°c
- ➔ Density of schemes is threatening thermal sustainability of aquifer
  - ➔ apply net annual heat discharge limit
  - ➔ proposed maximum net heat discharge over 6 years
  - ➔ only applied in limited urban settings, eg. London
- ➔ Specific potential risk to one or more risk receptors
  - ➔ site specific risk assessment which might include
    - Tier 1 – identification of risk receptors
    - Tier 2 – analytical models
    - Tier 3 – numerical modelling

# Proposed Risk-Based Approach cont.

- ➡ No monitoring requirements for small schemes
- ➡ In many areas we can be confident that the thermal plume will be limited provided we put an upper limit on temperature change
- ➡ In some locations, such as Central London we may have a high density of proposals and need a simple tool to enable a fair and rapid assessment of acceptability based on a thermal budget approach

# Research needs

- ➔ Quantify exploitable ground source heat energy
  - ➔ Sustainability
  - ➔ Scale, energy budget
- ➔ **Baseline temperature of aquifers and monitoring**
- ➔ Heat propagation and heat capacity in different aquifers – **London Chalk, Sandstone**
- ➔ Derive more precise temperature standards and delta T
- ➔ Impacts of heat on chemistry
  - ➔ Geochemical equilibria
  - ➔ Dissolution in the Chalk
- ➔ Feasibility of zero impact systems – Planning Controls
- ➔ **Case studies**
  - ➔ **post-project appraisal of a few sites, working with industry**